

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1, 3-7, 9, and 12-29 are pending but stand rejected. Claim 18 and 26-28 have been withdrawn from further consideration as being directed to a non-elected species. Claims 1, 6, and 22 have been amended. Claim 32 is new and depends from Claim 6.

**Election/Restriction:** The Examiner is requiring, under 35 USC §121, that the Applicant elect a single disclosed species. The Examiner has separated the Claims into two groups. Group I, includes those claims that do “not require copies of scaled scanned image to be positioned to utilize maximum printable area of a printing sheet. Group II includes the claims that require “copies of scaled scanned image to be positioned to utilize maximum printable area of a printing sheet.”

Claims 1, 4-7, 9, 12, 13, and 15-29 fall into Group I. Claims 3, 14, 22, and 32 fall into Group II. However, Claims 3 and 14 depend from Claim 1 and Claims 22 and 32 depend from Claim 6. As such, Claim 1 is generic with respect to Claims 3 and 14 while Claim 6 is generic with respect to Claims 22 and 32.

Applicant elects to prosecute Group I.

**CONCLUSION:** The foregoing is believed to be a complete response to the outstanding Office Action. Claims 1, 3-7, 9, 12-29, and 32 are in condition for allowance. Consequently, early and favorable action allowing these claims and passing the application to issue is earnestly solicited. The foregoing is believed to be a complete response to the outstanding Office Action.

Respectfully submitted,  
Gregory T. Hulan

By           /Jack H. McKinney/            
Jack H. McKinney  
Reg. No. 45,685

July 13, 2007